



"Development of a non-invasive baby sleep monitoring and intelligent control system for the prevention of unexpected death in previously healthy babies and early detection of risky situations."

# D.1.3 Definition of Patterns and Thresholds

Grant Agreement No.: FP7-SME-2013-1-606088

Start Date of project: 01/11/2013

Duration: 24 months

#### **Deliverable information:**

Dissemination Level								
PU	Public				X			
PP	Restricted to other Programme Participants (including the Commission Services)							
RE	Restricted to a group specified by the consortium (including the Commission Services)							
CO	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)							
Version:			1					
Documen	t Due Date	e (Annex 1):	30/04/2014					
Documen	t Respons	sible:	IBV					
Related V	VP and Tas	sks:	WP1 (Tas	k 1.5)				
			Approv	als:				
		Name		Organization	Date			
Deliverable Marta Valero			IBV 17/07/					
responsible				17/07/2014				
WP leader Marta Valero			IBV	17/07/2014				
Coordina	tor	Tomás Zamora		DELAX	17/07/2014			







# INDEX

1 Introduction	3
1.1 Objective	3
1.2 Scope	3
1.3 Integration within the project objectives	3
2. Protocol	5
3. Thresholds and patterns of the physiological signals	7
3.1 Respiratory rate, movements and pressure.	7
3.2 Skin temperature1	1
3.3. pH	1
4. Performance needed by the biosensing textiles12	1
5 Conclusions13	3
6. References14	4





# **1** Introduction

### 1.1 Objective

This deliverable is addressed to present the results from the following tasks:

#### Task 1.5. Signal and Threshold Risks definition

The aim of this task is to validate the information about risk situations from the review in D1.2 measuring the defined parameters with current technology in order to establish the requirements for the biosensing textiles that will be developed during WP3.

IBV and PIN will perform experiments with 6 babies in their laboratories and clinics with medical biomonitoring devices for direct physiologic measures (respiratory rate, skin temperature, movements) and extra biosensors for indirect measure (abdominal and thoracic pressure, pH, surface temperature), to measure the variability of those signals in near-to-reality conditions, and confirm that the defined ranges coincide with the experimental. All the sensors used in these trials will use current technology (no integrated in textiles, no wireless and most cases invasive).

These trials will complete the information about the performance needed by the biosensing textiles, such as sensitivity (lower threshold of the measurement scale), precision, signal sampling rate, and accuracy (size of the error).

#### **1.2 Scope**

This document covers the following points:

- In section 2 is shown the description of the protocol carried out during the experiments according to task 1.5. In order to optimize the development of Task 2.6 (test with 36 babies) by knowing before stimulus to be used in the design of experiments, it was agreed (kick-off meeting) that tests of Task 1.5 with 6 babies should also include pilot tests with several stimulus.
- In **section 3** is shown the description of the thresholds and patterns of the physiological signals. These limits were already described in D 1.1 and D1.2. In this section, they are completed with real data coming from test with babies.
- Description of the performance needed by the biosensing textiles is described in section 4.

#### **1.3 Integration within the project objectives**

This deliverable belongs to the "**WP1**. Users characterization and system requirements" which is part of the research section in the "Research, Technological development and innovation activities". This deliverable is the input to the "**Task 2.2. Model to interpretate the biosignals with risk situation**" belonging to "**WP2**. Development of SIDS Preventive Actuations", corresponding to the technological development of the above mentioned activities, as shown in the Figure 1. And together with D1.2, it is also an input for "**WP3** Development of Biosensing textiles".









Figure 1. Block diagram.







# 2. Protocol

In order to optimize the development of Task 2.6 (test with 36 babies) by knowing before stimulus to be used in the design of experiments, it was agreed (kick-off meeting) that tests of Task 1.5 with 6 babies should also include pilot tests with several stimulus.

In D2.1, the different stimulus and the related results are shown. In D2.2, the selected levels and the final stimulus to be used with the 36 babies (task 2.6) will be defined.

As it is explained in D2.1, the initial maximal stimuli was not enough, so it was increased before continue with tests to optimize the work an avoid the risk of not producing an arousal.

With an increased level of stimuli, the previous problem was solved and the pilot test were carried out with the following objectives:

- To obtain the required information related to task 1.5.
- To make pilot tests with several stimulus in order to optimize task 2.6 (tests with 36 babies) as it was agreed on the kick-off meeting.

The clinical trials within task 1.5 consisted of watching how the baby sleeps and how he reacts to the external stimuli applied to him by means of the mattress. A polysomnography was performed along the night to monitor the baby respiratory rate and EEG. Pressure was also measured with non-intrusive instrumentation. A list of the sensors used in these trials can be found in Table 1. Normal conductor gel will be applied to increase conductivity in order to improve the acquired EEG signals.

Table 1. Sensors used in pilot tests.						
SENSOR		DESCRIPTION				
Pressure		Pressure pad placed between the baby and the mattress.				
Polysomnography: Respiratory Rate.	EEG,	Sensors to measure the sleep of the baby.				

A trained neurophysiologist in performing polysomnographies to infants was observing during all the trial to identify if an arousal is produced as a results of a applied stimuli.

Trial was divided into two parts. During the first one, the baby was just monitored. In the second one, the neurophysiologist applied different stimulus to the baby and observed his reactions. Test were developed during the night, the starting time was set according to the baby's sleeping habits.

The main objective was to find out the stimuli able to produce an arousal in the baby without waking him up. Familiars of the baby may decide to end the trial whenever they want.

This trial is totally innocuous to the baby and its protocol has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the Dr. Peset Hospital of Valencia.







The characteristics of the babies participating within this task are shown on Table 2.

ID	AGE (months)	WEEKS OF PREGNANCY (weeks)	WEIGHT (Kg)	HEIGHT (cm)	AGE OF THE MOTHER (Years)	TYPE OF BABY FEEDING
<b>S1</b>	4.5	41 (full term baby)	7.7	64	28	Breastfeeding
<b>S2</b>	6.4	40 (full term baby)	9	70	35	Artificial
<b>S3</b>	7.6	40 (full term baby)	7.8	71	35	Artificial
<b>S4</b>	4.5	39 (full term baby)	6.2	61	30	Artificial
<b>S5</b>	7	39 (full term baby)	6.3	64	36	Breastfeeding
<b>S6</b>	6.3	40 (full term baby)	8.9	72	30	Breastfeeding

Table 2. Characteristics of babies participating in the pilot tests.







# 3. Thresholds and patterns of the physiological signals

These limits were already described in D 1.1 and D1.2. In this section, when appropriate, they are completed with examples of real data coming from test with babies.

It is important to note that when literature review offered enough information, it was decided to not introduce an extra variable that would disturb the baby such as with skin temperature and pH. The aim was to keep the test as simpler as possible in order to make it easier for the participant babies.

Respiration as the key feature of the system was measured using a pressure pad in order to prove the viability to measure baby respiration by pressure. In addition, pressure with a respiration band was also measured.

#### 3.1 Respiratory rate, movements and pressure.

Normal physical findings in a newborn include a respiratory rate of 40 to 60 breaths per minute. During rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, infants often exhibit irregular respirations with pauses of 5 seconds or less. In contrast, during non-REM or quiet sleep, a newborn's respiratory rate is 5 to 10 breaths per minute slower than in the awake or active (REM) sleep states.

Respiratory distress can be defined as tachypnea with respiratory rate greater than 60 breaths per minute, nasal flaring, chest retractions (intercostal, subcostal, and substernal), and expiratory grunting. Irregular or slow respiratory rates of less than 30 breaths per minute, particularly if associated with gasping, are a worrisome sign. [1]

The respiratory rate of preterm infants is greater throughout the first half of the year becoming essentially equalized by 8 months of age. The peak difference between preterm and full-term occurs at age 10 weeks, with the differences rapidly decreasing during the remainder of the first half-year. [2]

Age (wk)	<b>Respiratory Rate (Breaths/min)</b>						
	Preterm	<b>Full-term</b>	Difference				
2	41±4	36±2	5.3				
4	46±4	35±2	$10.6^{1}$				
6	43±4	37±2	6.6				
8	$48 \pm 8$	36±2	12.2				
10	44±5	31±1	13.7 <sup>2</sup>				
12	42±5	30±1	$12.1^{2}$				
14	39±4	30±1	$9.5^{2}$				
16	39±4	31±1	$7.7^{1}$				
18	34±3	29±1	4.7				
22	30±2	28±1	1.7				
26	32±2	28±1	3.5				
30	32±3	28±1	4.0				
34	29±2	27±1	1.7				
38	30±2	29±2	0.7				

Table 3. Respiratory rate in preterm and full-term infants during quiet sleep [2]. Values are means ± SE. P < .05. P</th>< .01.</td>







Age (wk)	Respiratory Rate (Breaths/min)				
	Preterm	<b>Full-term</b>	Difference		
42	27±1	27±3	-0.1		
46	28±2	27±2	1.1		
50	27±3	25±1	2.1		

The respiration signals of Figure 2 have been obtained using a pressure pad. Obtained signals have been sent to the consortium. The sampling rate used was 250 Hz.



Figure 2. Respiration measured with a pressure pad.

In addition, PIN has provided some examples of real signals measured with a respiration band to be considered. The full set has been sent to the consortium but a few examples are also included below.







Fp1-T3 T3-01 MMMM MAM WWW wanner wanner have wanted Fp2-C4 MM MWW WWW C4-02• ሥነሌልላሌ WWWWWWWWWWW Fp2-T4 MUMMUM wW We what T4-02• an man when when we www.www.www.www. T3-Cz♦ W W/W/W/ NWA T4-Cz4 MANNA WANN C4-A1 nN N C3-A2• MANA mm W ነለለም/ሌ/ነበ//\* EOG-I EOG-D moun Nr. Vin EKG ╺╊╍╋╍╋╍╋╍╋╍╋ N-Oral Tx-Abdorr SaO2 98 98 98 97 97 98 98 99 99 98 98 97 94 91 89 89 89 8<sup>§</sup> 89 92 95 96 96 96 97 96 95 95 96 96 127 129 133 132 126 122 117 116 122 128 135 142 145 144 142 141 138 133 130 128 129 131 133 133 133 133 134 137 136 Rate 12:15:31 Co alla, 7 µV/mm, 70,0 Hz, 1,000 Hz, 50 Hz, Época: 209

















#### 3.2 Skin temperature

There are differences of temperature in newborns throughout the 4 EEGsleep-defined states. Preterm babies have higher rectal temperatures than fullterm babies. Nevertheless, no significant variations have been found in skin temperature between preterm and fullterm.

There exist average skin temperature differences among all states. Specifically, for average skin temperature between mixed frequency active and tracé alternant quiet sleep. [3]

Variable	Mean		Standa	rd	Minimu	ım	Maxim	um
			deviati	on				
Preterm (n=34) / Full-term (n=25)	PT	FT	PT	FT	PT	FT	PT	FT
Mixed frequency active sleep								
Skin average	36.28	36.17	0.40	0.62	35.06	34.79	36.86	37.29
Skin variance	4.46	5.11	1.35	1.61	2.40	2.26	7.27	8.70
High voltage quiet sleep								
Skin average	36.27	36.10	0.44	0.73	34.97	34.29	36.95	37.28
Skin variance	4.36	4.92	1.53	1.42	1.94	2.56	7.53	9.00
Tracé alternant quiet sleep								
Skin average	36.19	36.05	0.41	0.69	35.06	34.37	36.84	37.35
Skin variance	4.24	4.91	1.38	1.52	1.81	2.10	7.14	8.85
Low voltage irregular active sleep								
Skin average	36.18	36.07	0.41	0.70	34.94	34.28	36.89	37.36
Skin variance	4.39	5.29	1.50	1.84	1.58	2.56	7.52	10.43

#### Table 4. Summary of temperature (°C) data for preterm and full-term infants [3].

### **3.3. pH**

Gastro-oesophageal reflux (GOR) is defined as the passage of gastric contents into the oesophagus due to inappropriate relaxation of the lower oesophageal sphincter. It occurs in 50% of neonates less than 3 months of age and usually resolves by 12 to 18 months of age. Around 20% of neonates with GOR will require medical intervention.

Oesophageal pH monitoring is not routinely recommended in neonates as 75% of GOR may be due to non-acid or weakly acidic reflux.

A reflux episode was defined as a lower oesophageal pH of < 4 for 15 seconds or longer. [4]

### 4. Performance needed by the biosensing textiles

The need performance need by the biosensing textiles has been updated and it is shown in Table 5.

Sampling rate used in the test was 250Hz. Reductions of sampling rate should be studied carefully.







Table 5. Sensor specifications.									
Sensor	Pressure (position)	Pressure (breathing)	Temperature	pH of reflux					
Signal and Unit	Mattress mapping Baby location and position ? ON/OFF (baby present or not)	Breathing frequency (Hz)	Skin temperature (°C)	pH (no unit) Threshold at 4 and 7.					
Accuracy on the mattress	Enough to support the breathing sensor 1-5 cm Back or front position?	Depending on the sensor size (sensor resolution) Should be able to measure wherever the baby is	Enough to give the same temperature wherever the baby is. To be tested by CTB	Enough to give the same value wherever the baby is. The amount of liquid typically rejected will be considered.					
Range of measurements (extreme values)	Baby weight 1.5 to 12.8 kg Size of the active area : 50 cm*70 cm Baby size : 52 to 70 cm	20 and 60/min are thresholds 1br every 3sec 20br/min = 0,33 Hz 80br/min = 1,33 Hz	Baby T° : 33 to 40 Check skin T°	Acid: 4 Alkaline: 8 [6] Number of episodes per hour: 2-4 [7]					
Reliability	++	+++	+++	++					
Signal sensitivity (which variation has to be detected)	gnalEnough toReallyensitivitysupport thesensitivewhich variationbreathing sensorSensitivity :as to be1 br/min =etected)16.66 mHz		0, 5 °C as an objective	Values lower than 4 and higher than 7.					
Comfort	Low (integrated) Low (integrated)		Medium	High (in contact)					
Cost Acceptable	€€ €€€		€€	€					
		Link Depending c	ed to reliability on the amount of sensor						
Washing resistance (Y/N)	N Not in contact	N Not in contact	Y Encapsulated by a polymer	Yes definitely, not encapsulated Disposable sensor ?					
Response time (s)	1sec	0,25 sec (max frequency)	1 min after first detection (initial warm up)	4 sec Drying time < 60 sec [7]					









# **5** Conclusions

The aim of this deliverable is to define the patterns and thresholds that are used as the **textile biosensing requirements**. This requirements are described in **section 4**.

According to the DOW (task 1.5), **tests with 6 babies** in order to confirm and complete the patterns and thresholds **have been developed**. Protocol to perform these tests is shown in section 2 and results about patterns and thresholds are described in section 3.

In addition, in order to optimize the development of Task 2.6 (test with 36 babies) by knowing before stimulus to be used in the design of experiments, it was agreed (kick-off meeting) that tests of Task 1.5 with 6 babies should also include pilot tests with several stimulus. The protocol and the babies participating are described in section 2 while the results related to stimulation parameters will be shown in D2.1. This part of the test has been very useful in order to defined a design of experiments (D2.2) to be perform within T2.6.





# 6. References

- [1] Suhas M. Nafday, MD, MRCP(Ire), DCH; Christina M. Long, DO, AAP Textbook of Pediatric Care, American Academy of Pediatrics, 2008.
- [2] P. G. Katona and J. R.Egbert, "Heart rate and respiratory rate differences between preterm and full-term infants during quiet sleep: possible implications for Sudden Indant Death Syndrome," *Pediatrics*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 91-95, 1978.
- [3] M. S. Scher, D. A. Steppe, D. G. Salerno, M. E. Beggarly and D. L. Banks, "Temperature differences during sleep between fullterm and preterm neonates at matched post-conceptional ages," *Clinical Neurophysiology*, no. 114, pp. 17-22, 2003.
- [4] E. A K, J. M E and T. J M, "Prone and left lateral positioning reduce gastro-oesophageal reflux in preterm infants," *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal*, no. 81, pp. 201-205, 1999.
- [5] Schindlbeck NE, Ippisch H, Klauser AG, Müller-Lissner SA., "Which pH threshold is best in esophageal pH monitoring?," *Am J Gastroenterol*, vol. 86, no. 9, pp. 1138-41, 1991.
- [6] López-Alonso M, Moya MJ, Cabo JA, Ribas J, del Carmen Macías M, Silny J, Sifrim D., "Twenty-four-hour esophageal impedance-pH monitoring in healthy preterm neonates: rate and characteristics of acid, weakly acidic, and weakly alkaline gastroesophageal reflux.," *Pediatrics*, vol. 118, no. 2, pp. 299-308, 2006.



